

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/009,531	KEHRER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tran N. Nguyen	2834	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tran N. Nguyen. (3) _____

(2) Steve Stephan. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 04 June 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 10-29.


Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Stephan informed the Examiner that the pre-amendment, filed on 12/12/02, contains claims 10-29 as pending claims, not only claims 10-26, as prosecuted on the merit in the First Office Action, recorded on 4/8/03. Therefore, a new non-final Office Action is required..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required